



REUTERS

Senators seek to kill tax break for corporate settlement costs

BY PATRICK TEMPLE-WEST

WASHINGTON Wed Nov 6, 2013 4:37pm EST



1 OF 2. US Senator Charles Grassley (R-IA) answers questions during the 2009 Reuters Washington Summit in Washington, October 19, 2009.

CREDIT: REUTERS/JONATHAN ERNST

(Reuters) - [Banks](#) and corporations would no longer be able to claim tax deductions for certain payments made under legal settlements with the U.S. government involving alleged [business](#) misconduct, under bipartisan legislation offered on Wednesday in the Senate.

Senators Charles Grassley and Jack Reed offered the bill. "Congress needs to close this settlement loophole," said Reed, a Democrat, in a statement.

Grassley, a Republican, has previously introduced similar legislation and it has failed to win passage and become law.

Under present law, **banks** and corporations may not deduct from their taxable income the costs of paying fines and penalties directly to the federal government. But they may write off payments that are not made directly to the government.

For example, JPMorgan may be able to get a deduction for \$4 billion in aid to struggling mortgage borrowers it would pay as part of a proposed settlement, Reuters reported.

JPMorgan has been negotiating with the Justice Department over the details of a \$13 billion settlement over the bank's mortgage **bonds**. Tax deductibility has been a sensitive issue in these settlement talks, sources have told Reuters.

A JPMorgan spokesman declined to comment on the bill.

The Justice Department did not immediately respond to requests for a comment.

On Tuesday, Democratic Senator Bill Nelson called on congressional budget negotiators to consider closing the settlement deductibility loophole.

Though the Reed-Grassley bill faces long odds, regulators are already getting tougher on the tax deductibility issue in recent settlements, said Robert Wood, a tax lawyer who has written about the issue.

This week's settlement between SAC Capital Advisors and federal regulators, a \$1.2 billion deal, barred the company from claiming cost-related tax deductions, Wood said.

"There is more sensitivity to this now," Wood said. "Maybe, by attrition, Grassley is winning."

The consumer advocacy group Public Citizen praised the Reed-Grassley bill. "A corporate penalty is effective only if it hits the company where it hurts: in their bottom line," said Lisa Gilbert, a director with the good government watchdog group.

(Additional reporting by David Henry; Editing by Kevin Drawbaugh and Leslie Gevirtz)

© Thomson Reuters 2011. All rights reserved. Users may download and print extracts of content from this website for their own personal and non-commercial use only. Republication or redistribution of Thomson Reuters content, including by framing or similar means, is expressly prohibited without the prior written consent of Thomson Reuters. Thomson Reuters and its logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of the Thomson Reuters group of companies around the world.

Thomson Reuters journalists are subject to an Editorial Handbook which requires fair presentation and disclosure of relevant interests.

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only. To order presentation-ready copies for distribution to colleagues, clients or customers, use the Reprints tool at the top of any article or visit: www.reutersreprints.com.